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Methodology: Economic impact analysis

In this appendix, we outline the approach applied in the quantitative analysis of the economic effect of Google’s expenditures on data centres in Europe.

Data sources
The source data for our analysis is information received from Google on expenditures and employment at their data centres in Europe. More precisely, we have used data on Google’s data centres in Belgium, Finland, Ireland and the Netherlands.

The Google data has not been sourced from audited financial statements (thus do not seek to represent a position of financial results), yet we understand it to be a precise characterization of the magnitude of the expenditure on data centres in Europe. A further source of data is the Eurostat input, via World Input-Output Database (WIOD) including the most recent input-output table from 2011. We use the regional Input-Output tables from WIOD, as this enables us to assess the impact of all the data centres in Europe collectively, and thus account for the linkages between countries.

We have used this data to estimate the supported GDP and employment contribution of Google’s data centres in Europe.

Description of expenditure and effects
Google’s expenditure can be split into construction expenditures and operation expenditures. Each type of expenditure has a distinct mix of inputs and pattern of impact throughout the European economy, thus we quantify the impact from each separately. Construction expenditures are split into purchases of foreign and domestic goods and services. In this study, we use the term domestic to mean the entire EU, such that imports denote only products originating in non-EU countries. With the exception of the imports from non-EU countries, all expenditures influence employment and the GDP in Europe.

The positive economic impact of Google’s domestic expenditures is a result of the supported jobs at the data centre itself, at suppliers to the data centre and also at firms throughout the economy, which benefits from the increase in economic activity. We call the effects direct, indirect and induced respectively.

The direct effect includes the economic impact supported directly by the data centre and its key construction contractors. The directly supported jobs in operations include positions in management, mechanical and electrical maintenance and repair, IT and systems technicians, plumbing and water management, and hardware operations.

The indirect effect reflects how expenditure at the data centre site on domestic goods and services support a contribution to GDP and employment through increased activity up the
value chain of industrial and commercial activities that indirectly benefit from demand from the data centre site. The indirectly supported jobs include jobs in security, catering, cleaning and in the construction and supply industries, as well as at suppliers in upstream industries across the economy.

*The induced effect* includes the supported economic impact when salaries paid to employees at the data centre and its suppliers is spent throughout the economy. Induced jobs are primarily service-related, in industries such as retail trade, transport, accommodation, restaurants, housing and finance.

In practice, displacement in the labour force can reduce the final effect realised and this depends on the skill base and degree of openness in the economy.

**Our approach to estimate the economic impact**

We estimate these three effects in two steps.

*Firstly*, the direct effects are calculated as the sum of wage expenditures at the data centres and the number of data centre staff reported to us by Google.

*Secondly*, we estimate the indirect and induced effects using an input–output model. Input–output models provide a consistent and intuitive way of measuring the economic effects of an activity in any given industry or company. The model uses input–output tables, which reflect how national statistical agencies track the interdependency between all the sectors of the economy. In the WIOD input–output table, it is reported how each of 35 industrial sectors: i) relies on the other 34 sectors for inputs to their production; and ii) supplies its products and services to each of the remaining 34 sectors. We use the input–output tables to estimate economic multiplier, which are multiplied with the appropriate expenditures to give the economic effects.²

Due to the supply chain linkages across Europe, where producers of one good in one country is dependent on various inputs produced in other countries, the economic impact supported by data centres in Europe is larger when assessed for all the countries collectively than if assessed for each country separately. In other words, the multipliers will be larger, when assessed for all the countries together than would be the case for each country, as the share of imports to the total production is lower when a larger region is analysed.

Because of the underlying approach of this class of models, the results calculated by this method should however be regarded as approximations. Some of the assumptions are most likely to hold in the short run, and others are more appropriate for the long run. The results should thus be interpreted in the light of the following caveats.

*First*, we do not observe data on gross surplus (which under national counting rules is counted as part of GDP). In order to provide a conservative estimate, we do not include gross surplus in the operations when calculating the GDP contribution of the data centre. Including this would produce larger impacts.

² In the literature, the ratio of (direct + indirect) to direct effects is called a *type 1 multiplier*, and the ratio of (direct + indirect + induced) to direct effects is called *type 2 multiplier*. 
Second, we assume that the technology and resource mix (ratios for inputs and production) is the same for all firms in each industry, i.e. within each of those 35 industrial categories reported by the different European national statistics agency’s input-output table. As such, our analysis describes average effects.

Third, we assume fixed production and input ratios of companies and fixed consumption shares of households. We do not include extra effects from investments or government spending.

Fourth, we assume that firms can increase their use of labour and capital as needed to meet the additional demand for their products from Google and their suppliers. Further, we assume that extra output can be produced in one area without taking resources away from other activities. This approach to considering no supply-side constraints is equivalent to an assumption of fixed prices and wages; indeed input-output models are referred to as fixed-price models. We thus refer to our estimated impact as supported effects, because they indicate the potential effects in the situation where resources are readily available in the economy.

Last, we assume that the structure of the European economy remains unchanged, looking as in 2011 (the year of the latest available input-output table in WIOD). Any structural changes in the European economy since 2011 would therefore lead to changes to the multipliers – which could be implemented once the different official national statistics agencies in Europe release updated input-output tables.